-URM LETTOR

From:

Leon Stolarski [lenny@daleco.net]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:19 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Leon Stolarski 1502 huntly dr. Warrington, PA 18976

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Sent:

Josh Zorich [joshzorich@gmail.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:20 PM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

g (g succession of the company of th

700 MAY -6 01 15 20

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Josh Zorich 301 Orin Street Pittsburgh, PA 15235

From: Sent:

Eric Lowry [ericlowry@yahoo.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:19 PM

To:

EP. ReaComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Lowry 109 St. Pauls Road Ardmore, PA 19003

From:

Sameer Jadhav [sameer\_jadhav@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, November 02, 2009 2:19 PM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* -6 PM C 20

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Sameer Jadhav Sameer Jadhav 1104 New York Avenue 1104 New York Avenue, West Chester, PA West Chester, PA 19380

From:

Sent:

Anne Harris Katz [katzah93@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:24 PM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

TERE ROMAN

NETTER RESIACE

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Dr. Anne Harris Katz 445 Shady Knoll Road Montoursville, PA 17754

### Chambers, Laura M.

From: Sent:

Beth Brill [beth\_friends@hotmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:26 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

700 100 LA 100 5- 20

MANAGER CONCRE

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Beth Brill 1231 Palomino Dr West Chester, PA 19380

### Chambers, Laura M.

From: Sent: Michael Patterson [patterm@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:24 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

377 107 -6 91 C 20

MOSSENDENT REQUIATORY

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Michael Patterso Michael Patterson 3306 Guilford St. Philadelphia PA Philadelphia, PA 19136

**PERMINA** 

From:

Edward Berbaum [edwardberbaum@mac.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:26 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

ome sign -4 20 51 51 20

MORPHUM POLATOR

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Stop all toxic contamination in the USA. The Best environmental solution

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 300 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Berbaum RR 4 Box 39 Montrose, PA 18801-8958

From:

Sent:

Dewey Odhner [dewey@mipg.upenn.edu]

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:30 PM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

the way \_ A by n on

ADEFINITY REGULACES

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Dewey Odhner 439 Avenue A Horsham, PA 19044

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Sent:

Natalie Greene [nrgreenex@aol.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:27 PM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

A Section of the sect

MOSTNEW RELATOR:

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Natalie Greene 4425 McCaslin St Pittsburgh, PA 15207

From:

Charles S. [cs479@drexel.edu]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:31 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

777 NW -6 M # 27

MATERIALES AND ACTION OF THE STATE OF THE ST

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles S. 333 Rockledge Ave. 333 rockledge avenue Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

### Chambers, Laura M.

From: Sent:

Jeanne Weber [jeanneweber@verizon.net]

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:29 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

TT 1017 -6 TY 4: 24

MODERN M PERIATORY
HOLLOW CONTROL

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanne Weber 1119 Rapps Dam Rd. Phoenixville, PA 19460

From: Sent:

John Barbis [johnbarb1@aol.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:32 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

John Barbis 929 Hunt Rd. Broomall, PA 19008

From: Sent: Natalie Greene [nrgreenex@aol.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:34 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

2002 NEW -6 20 M: 25

MONTH FRANCE

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Natalie Greene 4425 McCaslin St Pittsburgh, PA 15207

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Rich Costigan [cost2000@msn.com]

Sent: To: Monday, November 02, 2009 2:35 PM EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

1111 1111 - 6 111 15 78

PENELENIATOR

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

MRS. Rich Costigan 20 Quaker Hill Rd. Quaker Hill Road Levittown, PA 19057

From: Sent:

Carolyn Powers [Calipowers@AOL.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:38 PM

To:

**EP. RegComments** 

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

117 my -6 m n. on

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Powers 1231 Lois Rd 1231 Lois Rd. Ambler, PA 19002

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Mary E. Corbett [mcorbett@ssjphila.org]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:41 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Co

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mary E. Corbett 9701 Germantown Avenue Phila, PA 19118-2694

From: Sent:

Ardis Chapman [cachapman2@verizon.net]

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:42 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

save our forests Ardis Chapman 66 W. Greenwich St. 66 W. Greenwich St. Bethlehem, PA 18018

### Chambers, Laura M.

From: Sent:

Barbara Royer [bcr17@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 2:48 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Royer 112 N. Valley Forge Rd. 112 North Valley Forge Road Devon, PA 19333

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

David Liberati [dliberati@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, November 02, 2009 2:52 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania, CODE CH, 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

David Liberati 158 Dickson Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15202

From:

Giacomo DeAnnuntis [gdeannuntis@aim.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 2:50 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Giacomo DeAnnuntis 5502 Houghton Street Phila, PA 19128

From:

Kevin Ryan [kevin.ryan@treas.state.nj.us] Monday, November 02, 2009 3:00 PM

Sent: To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Ryan 1506 Esther Lane Lower Makefield, PA 19067

From:

Jeff Alper [alper.jeff@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:01 PM

To:

EP RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Alper 905 Melrose Ave Elkins Park, PA 19027

From: Sent: Brooks Clark [cbrooksclark@hotmail.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:02 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Brooks Clark 532 N. Walnut St. West Chester, PA 19380

From: Sent: rachel shah [rachelshah@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 3:03 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

- アンデーの 20 あった - 阿斯隆斯(1779年)

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

rachel shah 4519 Pine St. Philadelphia, PA 19143

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Susan DiGiorgio-Poll [susandp@sepaahec.org]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:04 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Susan DiGiorgio-Poll 35 South High Street 445 Old Eagle School Road, Wayne, PA West Chester, PA 19382

From:

Daniel Piser [dpiser@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:05 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Piser 538 West Ellet St 538 West Ellet St Philadelphia, PA 19119

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Liz Tymkiw [liztymkiw@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:08 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

200 100 -- 6 101 11:25

MOTENTIAN FIGURALLY

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms Liz Tymkiw 1301 Montgomery Ave Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-1603

From:

todd Zimmermann [pixbytodd@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:10 PM

To:

EP. ReaComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

MORTHUR DELINER

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

todd Zimmermann 1630 Delmont ave Havertown, PA 19083

From: Sent:

Ed McGrath [edsaiddead@hotmail.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:11 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

MENTAL PROPERTY AND A STORY

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ed McGrath 431 Avenue A Horsham, PA 19044

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Ron Ranieri [peacebeach@verizon.net]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:14 PM EP, RegComments

To: Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Ron Ranieri 1647 Aidenn Lair Rd. Dresher, PA 19025

From: Sent: Judith Lewis [judelewis@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 3:18 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. We need to protect this important resource! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a \*mandatory\* stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely, Judith Lewis

Ms Judith Lewis 805 Tennis Ave. Glenside, PA 19038

From:

Mark Garvin [mark@markgarvinphoto.com]

Sent: Monday, November 02, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

REPORT OF THE PARTY

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mark Garvin 7816 Haines Rd. Cheltenham, PA 19012

From: Sent:

Arne R. Erickson [kokopelligi@aol.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:24 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

The state of the s

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Colon Arne R. Erickson 330 Beechwood Dr. Sellersville, PA 18960

### Chambers, Laura M.

From: Sent:

Robin Schaef [rschaef@edinboro.edu] Monday, November 02, 2009 3:36 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Schaef 12158 State Highway 198 Guys Mills, PA 16327

### Chambers, Laura M.

From:

Andrea Carman [andreacarman@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:38 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

100 MM -8 70 70 25

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Andrea Carman 700 Ridge Dr. 700 Ridge Dr Douglassville, PA 19518

From:

Nancy Delpresto [ndelpresto@aol.com]

Sent: To: Monday, November 02, 2009 3:36 PM EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Nancy B DelPrest Nancy Delpresto 1051 Blackridge Rd. 1051 Blackridge Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15235

From: Sent:

Ellen Smith [elwsmith@hotmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 3:42 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ellen Smith 1310 Delmont Ave. Havertown, PA 19083

From:

Hilary Marcella [hrm12@pitt.edu]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 3:55 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Hilary Marcella 120 S NEGLEY AVE 2 Pittsburgh, PA 15206

From: Sent:

Denise Schiller [pghrun@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 3:55 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Com

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely, Denise Schiller

Ms. Denise Schiller 265 Anita Ave. 265 Anita Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15217

From:

To:

Nancy Minich [nancyminich6575@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:01 PM

Subject:

EP, RegComments Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102 RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Minich 6575 Greenhill Road 6575 Greenhill road, Lumberville, PA Lumberville, PA 18933

From: Sent:

Brian Wagner [fish4brian@aol.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 4:05 PM

To:

EP. ReaComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania, CODE CH, 102

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Brian Wagner 137 s Nazareth, PA 18064-2228

From:

Janelle Jesikiewicz [janelle.jesikiewicz@villanova.edu]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:12 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms Janelle Jesikiewicz 26 Osborne Drive Pittston, PA 18640-3715

From: Sent:

Judy McAuley [iris5426@yahoo.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 4:16 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs Judy McAuley 740 Taylor Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15202

From: Sent:

To:

Tom Posey [tposey16@verizon.net]

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:16 PM

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Tom Posey 120 S. Main St. 120 S. Main Street Yardley, PA 19067

From: Sent:

Meryl Gladstone [merrylg@gmail.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 6:22 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Merryl Glad Meryl Gladstone 910 S. 47th St. 910 S. 47th Street Philadelphia, PA 19143

From: Sent:

Anne Harris Katz [katzah93@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:19 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Dr. Anne Harris Katz 445 Shady Knoll Road Montoursville, PA 17754

From: Sent:

To:

Meryl Gladstone [merrylg@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:22 PM

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Merryl Glad Meryl Gladstone 910 S. 47th St. 910 S. 47th Street Philadelphia, PA 19143

From: Sent:

To:

John Dulik [john.dulik@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:35 PM

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dulik 101 Yeakel Avenue Glenside, PA 19038

From: Sent:

Matthew Johanson [matt0323@aol.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:17 PM

To: Subject:

EP. ReaComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Matthew Johanson 2301 Silvano Drive Macungie, PA 18062

From:

Gerald Mistal, Jr. [gmistal@verizon.net]

Sent: To:

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:18 PM

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY **REVIEW COMMISSION** 

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr Gerald Mistal, Jr. 1528 W. Union Blvd. Bethlehem, PA 18018

From:

Alexandra Klinger [alexandra klinger@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:18 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Dr. Alexandra KI Alexandra Klinger 7811 Elm Ave. 7811 Elm Ave., Wyndmoor PA 19038 Wyndmoor, PA 19038

From: Sent:

Ric Ravier [rravier@satorigroupinc.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 4:21 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ric Ravier 2927 Richlandtown Pike Coopersburg, PA 18036

From: Sent:

To:

George Krock [gek1696@hotmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 4:26 PM

Subject:

EP. RegComments Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102 RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. George Krock 1696 Hathaway Ln. Pittsburgh, PA 15241

From: Sent:

To:

Cheryl Lee [Caltps@aol.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:22 PM

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms Cheryl Lee 200 hidden ridge court 200 Hidden Ridge #202 Library, PA 15129-5916

From: Sent:

Diane Bono [bonodiane@hotmail.com]

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania, CODE CH, 102

To:

EP. ReaComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:26 PM

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Diane Bono 146 94th Street 308 Robin Lane Norristown, PA 19401

From: Sent: Kait Fiumara [kait.fiumara@gmail.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:38 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Kait Fiumara 871 Parkridge Dr. Media, PA 19063

From: Sent:

Marsha Low [mg.low@comcast.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:05 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha Low 8018 Hammond Rd. Cheltenham, PA 19012

From: Sent:

Lori Ramsey [ramseylc@ptd.net]

To:

EP. ReaComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 5:51 PM

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Lori Ramsev 442 N. 3rd St. Emmaus, PA 18049

From: Sent:

Kevin Doogan [kbdoogan@msn.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 5:27 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDÉPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Doogan 814 Gregory Rd. 814 Gregory Road Jenkintown, PA 19046

From: Sent: Lauren DeVitis [lauren@robertdevitis.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 5;22 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lauren DeVitis 35 Corson Rd. 35 Corson Road, Conshohocken, PA 19428 Lafayette Hill, PA 19444

From:

Simone Vecchio [guinness1227@verizon.net]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 5:35 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102 RECEIVED

NOV - 6 RECT

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

ms Simone Vecchio 17 Belvidere St. Pittsburgh, PA 15205

From:

Leslie Kaufman [lesliekaufman@verizon.net]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 5:08 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFENDENT KEGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms Leslie Kaufman 933 N. Orianna St. Philadelphia, PA 19123

From:

Ronald Gulla [fight@hky.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 5:25 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments
Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr Ronald Gulla 29 Gulla Lane Hickory, PA 15340

From: Sent: Lisa Cleary [lac8226@netzero.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 5:19 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVE

NOV - 6 RECT

INDEFERDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Lisa Cleary 991 Lucon Rd Schwenksville, PA 19473

From: Sent:

Harold Denenberg [denenber@verizon.net]

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 5:00 PM

NOV - 6 REC'D

KECEIVED

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

INDEPENDENT KEGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold Denenberg 833 Persimmon Ln. Langhorne, PA 19047

From: Sent:

David Schleinkofer [artist20@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 4:55 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. David Schleinkofer 1903 Woodland Dr. 1903 Woodland Dr. Yardley, PA 19067

From: Sent:

Dave Casker [dcasker@atlanticbb.net]

To:

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 4:49 PM

EP. ReaComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Casker 195 Derby Street - Rear Johnstown, PA 15905-4514

From: Sent:

Steven Johnson [sljohn2@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 5:36 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Johnson 129 Rochelle Ave Philadelphia, PA 19128

From: Sent:

Kisha Galloway [kishagalloway@aol.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:04 PM

To:

Subject:

EP, RegComments Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102 RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Protecting Water Kisha Galloway PO Box 814 Ardmore, PA 19003

From: Sent:

J M Bolis [sanity\_island@hotmail.co.uk] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:13 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs J M Bolis 65 W Mt Kirk Ave 65 W. Mt. Kirk Ave Eagleville, PA 19403-1541

From: Sent: Greg Burchard [awake1234@gmail.com]

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 6:17 PM

NOV - 6 RECT

RECEIVED

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

INDEFENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania! LOVE Sincerely,

mr Greg Burchard 1591 w 40th st 1591 w 40th st erie, PA 16509

From: Sent:

Anne Harris Katz [katzah93@gmail.com]

To:

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 6:19 PM

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT KEGULATORY **REVIEW COMMISSION** 

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Dr. Anne Harris Katz 445 Shady Knoll Road Montoursville, PA 17754

From: Sent:

To:

Meryl Gladstone [merrylg@gmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:22 PM

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania, CODE CH, 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDÉPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Merryl Glad Meryl Gladstone 910 S. 47th St. 910 S. 47th Street Philadelphia, PA 19143

From: Sent: John Dulik [john.dulik@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:35 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dulik 101 Yeakel Avenue Glenside, PA 19038

From: Sent:

To:

Robert Eppinger [reppin54@msn.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 6:42 PM

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Robert Eppinger 4 Moredun Place Phila, PA 19115

From: Sent: Ty Bernhard [tybernhard@yahoo.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 6:45 PM

RECEIVED

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

NOV - 6 REC'D

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Ty Bernhard Ty Bernhard 1621 Woodmere Way Havertown, PA 19083

From: Sent:

Andrea young [andreay@windstream.net]

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:10 PM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject: Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFER DENT REVUEATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

We need stronger and compulsory riparian vegetation corridors along our waterways, not diluted rules. Our wetland and waterways are important resources that deserve our protection! Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Andrea young 552 Tescier Rd Muncy, PA 17756

From: Sent:

Beth Appelbaum [speechtoo@hotmail.com]

To:

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:17 PM EP, RegComments Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102 RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFENDENT REGULATORY **REVIEW COMMISSION** 

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

mrs. Beth Appelbaum 3844 byron road huntingdon valley, PA 19006

From: Sent:

To:

Corrine Kucirka [ckucirka@yahoo.com]

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:20 PM

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDLE PRESIDE REQUEATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Corrine Kucirka 1214 Highland Dr. 1214 Highland Drive Orefield, PA 18069

From: Sent:

Joe Zelinski [lisa\_zelinski@yahoo.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:19 PM

To: Subject:

EP. RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 5 REC'D

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joe Zelinski 1902 Fairview Avenue 1902 Fairview Avenue Easton, PA 18042

From: Sent:

John Buchanan [forge13@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:20 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. John Buchanan 1520 Aspen Dr. 1520 aspen drive Pottstown, PA 19464

From:

idyle Nestler [Idylen@aol.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:21 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 RECT

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms idyle Nestler 6342 Saddle Rd 6342 Saddle Rd New Tripoli, PA 18066

From: Sent:

Dianne Watson [diannewtsn@yahoo.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:22 PM

To:

**EP RegComments** 

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 RECT

INDEPLINDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Dianne Watson 5649 Phillips Ave. #3 5649 Phillips Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15217

From: Sent:

James Gagne [jg\_gagne@yahoo.com]

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:23 PM

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INUCLEMBENT KEYELATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. James Gagne 916 Wells Rd. Phoenixville, PA 19460

From:

Adrienne Eisenberg [abelleflower@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:29 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms Adrienne Eisenberg 522 Masthope Plank Rd Lackawaxen, PA 18435-9714

From: Sent:

Ed Dornheim [eddornheim@aol.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:33 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. Edmund Dornh Ed Dornheim 215 Harrison Ave. 215 Harrison Avenue Glenside, PA 19038

From:

C. McCoy [cfmccoy@hotmail.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:32 PM

Sent: To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

C. McCoy 100 Yale Ave. Swarthmore, PA 19081

From: Sent: Jennifer Briggs [jbriggs1@mac.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:34 PM

To: Subject: EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

MOV - 6 REC'D

INDEFERDENT KEGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms Jennifer Briggs 5622 Phillips Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15217

From: Sent:

John Buchanan [forge13@verizon.net] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:46 PM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPLIBENT RESULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr. John Buchanan 1520 Aspen Dr. 1520 aspen drive Pottstown, PA 19464

From: Sent: Melissa Danielson [melissa@wayuptop.com] Monday, November 02, 2009 7:49 PM

EP. RegComments

To: Subject:

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Danielson 317 West Main Street Grove City, PA 16127

From: Sent:

Jon Brams [jbrams@alumni.upenn.edu]

To:

Subject:

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:50 PM

EP. RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania, CODE CH, 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT KEGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely, Jon Brams

Mr. Jon Brams 514 Summercroft Dr. 514 Summercroft Drive Exton, PA 19341

From: Sent: Thomas Ditmars [thomas.ditmars@gmail.com]

Monday, November 02, 2009 7:51 PM

To: Subject:

EP, RegComments

Comments on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102

RECEIVED

NOV - 6 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING on 25 Pennsylvania. CODE CH. 102: Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Management

Pennsylvania has over 83,000 miles of streams. This is an important resource and we need to protect it! This is why the regulations for erosion and sediment control and stormwater management need to include a mandatory stream buffers program, not a voluntary one. Pennsylvania?s streams cannot afford more pollution and runoff, and we cannot afford increased flooding and drinking water treatment costs.

Pennsylvania should require forested buffers of at least 100 feet on both sides of every stream in our state, with 150 feet on small headwater streams and 300 feet on Exceptional Value and High Quality streams.

Forested Buffers are good for the environment and the economy. Buffers will reduce pollution of our streams, limit erosion of stream banks, improve habitat for fish and keep streams cooler. They will also increase property values for nearby properties, and cut stormwater management costs and drinking water treatment costs. And they will reduce damage from flooding, which costs \$ 6 billion a year. Many municipalities in Pennsylvania already require at least 100 foot buffers, making development better for our communities and the environment.

Please make stream buffers a mandatory requirement on all streams in Pennsylvania!

Sincerely,

Mr Thomas Ditmars 151 Blenheim Dr Easton, PA 18045